

Roy F. Weston, Inc. Suite 5700 700 5th Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104-5057 206-521-7600 • Fax 206-521-7601

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

1 December 1998

TO:

David Bennett, WAM, U.S. EPA, Region X

FROM:

Michelle Turner, Chemist, WESTON, Seattle

Roger McGinnis, Senior Environmental Chemist, WESTON, Seattle

SUBJECT:

Validation of Chlorinated Pesticide Data

Laboratory Batch: K9805547

Site. Duwamish River

WORK ASSIGNMENT NO: 46-23-0JZZ

WORK ORDER NO.:

4000-019-038-5200-00

DOC. CONTROL NO.:

4000-019-038-AAAK

cc.

Bruce Woods, RAP-WAM, U.S. EPA, Region X

Dena Hughes, Site Manager, WESTON, Seattle (memo only)

Kevin Mundell-Jackson, Database Management, WESTON, Seattle

The quality assurance review of one sediment sample, laboratory batch K9805547, collected from the Duwamish River has been completed. Samples were analyzed for chlorinated pesticides by Columbia Analytical Services of Kelso, Washington using EPA Method 8081. The samples were numbered:

98344012

Data Qualifications

The following comments refer to the laboratory performance in meeting the quality control criteria described in the technical specifications of the laboratory subcontract. The review follows the format described in the *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review* (EPA OSWER Directive 9240.1-05, February 1994).

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc expressly for the EPA It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA



Site: Duwamish River

Page 2

1. Timeliness

All samples met holding time criteria of 14 days for sample extraction and 40 additional days for extract analysis.

2. GC/ECD Instrument Performance

i) Retention Time Windows

Retention times of all pesticides were within windows calculated from the initial calibration

ii) DDT/Endrin Breakdown

The percent breakdown for 4,4'-DDT and Endrin was less than 20 percent for each compound and combined breakdown was less than 30 percent on both GC columns.

3. Initial Calibration

a) Individual Standard Mixtures

Retention time windows were calculated correctly.

Appropriate standards concentrations were used and peak heights of 50 to 100 percent of full scale were obtained.

Calibration factor percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) met QC criteria of 20 percent for pesticides and 30 percent for surrogates.

4. Calibration Verification

Instrument blanks and PEM samples were analyzed at the proper frequency

The difference between actual and calculated concentrations of individual pesticides was within QC criteria of ± 25 percent

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc expressly for the EPA. It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA



Site: Duwamish River

Page 3

5. Detection Limits

Instrument detection limits met project required quantitation limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	QL Goal (μg/Kg)	Reported QL (µg/Kg)	
98344012	Heptachlor Epoxide	1	3	
98344012	Dieldrin	2	3	
98344012	DDE	1	3	
98344012	Endosulfan II	2	6	
98344012	DDT	2	3	
98344012	Toxaphene	10	180	

Where quantitation limit goals were exceeded, undetected analytes were qualified (UI) to indicate matrix interference

6. Blanks

a) Laboratory Method Blanks

Laboratory method blank frequency criteria were met.

No target analytes were reported in laboratory method blanks.

b) Field Blanks

No field blanks were associated with this laboratory batch.

7. System Monitoring Compounds (Surrogates)

Surrogate compound percent recovery met quality control criteria (P-project, L-laboratory) for all samples except

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc expressly for the EPA. It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA.



Site. Duwamish River

Page 4

Sample	Compound	Percent Recovery	QC Limits
K980831-DLCS	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	22	30-150 (P) 20-107 (L)

As surrogate recoveries in the original LCS (K980831-LCS) were within QC limits, no qualifiers were assigned based on the duplicate LCS surrogate recoveries

8. Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate

No matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis was performed for this SDG. Instead, a replicate laboratory control sample set (LCS/DLCS) was analyzed

9. Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

The following compounds were outside the QC guidelines (P-project, L-laboratory)

Sample	Compound	Percent Recovery	QC Limits
K980831-LCS	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	54	70-130 (P) 40-124 (L)
K980831-LCS	Heptachlor	54	70-130 (P) 40-117 (L)
K980831-LCS	Aldrin	51	70-130 (P) 43-108 (L)
K980831-LCS	Dieldrin	53	70-130 (P) 42-127 (L)
K980831-LCS	Endrin	69	70-130 (P) 46-123 (L)
K980831-LCS	DDT	67	70-130 (P) 46-127 (L)
K980831-DLCS	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	47	70-130 (P) 40-124 (L)
K980831-DLCS	Heptachlor	47	70-130 (P) 40-117 (L)

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc expressly for the EPA. It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA.



Site: Duwamish River

Page 5

Sample	Compound	Percent Recovery	QC Limits
K980831-DLCS	Aldrın	45	70-130 (P) 43-108 (L)
K980831-DLCS	Dieldrin	52	70-130 (P) 42-127 (L)
K980831-DLCS	Endrin	66	70-130 (P) 46-123 (L)
K980831-DLCS	DDT	67	70-130 (P) 46-127 (L)

Results for compounds listed above were qualified as estimated (J). Undetected analytes were also qualified as estimated (UJ).

10. Field Duplicate Analysis

No field duplicate samples were associated with this sample delivery group.

11. Second Column Confirmation

The relative percent difference (RPD) in reported analyte concentration was greater than 35 percent for the primary and confirmation column for the following samples:

Sample Number	Compound	DB-5 Conc	DB-608 Conc	RPD
98344012	d-BHC	6 51	0 29 (ND)	183
98344012	Endosulfan I	2 28	0 25 (ND)	160

Differences can arise from analytical interferences on one column. However, the relative percent differences are not deemed significant at the reported concentrations. The lower concentration was reported for each analyte.

12 Sample Analysis

A cursory review of raw data was performed. All laboratory deliverables were present and complete. No unusual problems were noted

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc expressly for the EPA It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA



QA Review Batch K9805547 (Chlorinated Pesticides) Site Duwamish River Page 6

13. Laboratory Contact

No laboratory contact was required.

Data Assessment

Upon consideration of the data qualifications noted above, the data are ACCEPTABLE for use except where flagged with data qualifiers that modify the usefulness of the individual values.

Data Qualifiers

- U The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected.
- UJ The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated quantitation limit is an estimate because quality control criteria were not met.
- The analyte was positively identified, but the associated numerical value is an estimated quantity because quality control criteria were not met or because concentrations reported are less then CRDL or lowest calibration standard.
- R Quality control indicates that data are unusable (compound may or may not be present)
 Resampling and reanalysis are necessary for verification.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of material (tentative identification).
- I Elevated reporting limit due to matrix interference

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Report

Client:

Roy F Weston, Inc

Project:

Duwamish River/4000-027-001-2019-38

Sample Matrix:

Sediment

Service Request: K9805547

Date Collected: 8/17/98

Date Received: 8/18/98

Organochlorine Pesticides

Sample Name

98344012

Lab Code

K9805547-003

Units' ug/Kg (ppb)

Basis. Dry

Test Notes

	Prep	Analysis		Dilution	Date	Date	75	Result
Analyte	Method	Method	MRL	Factor	Extracted	Analyzed	Result	Notes
alpha-BHC	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
beta-BHC	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	NDIUJ	
Heptachlor	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND IUJ	
Aldrın	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND ILLJ	
Heptachlor Epoxide	EPA 3550A	8081A	3	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND 3UI	Æ
gamma-Chlordane	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	•
Endosulfan I	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
alpha-Chiordane	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	i	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
Dieldrin	EPA 3550A	8081A	3	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND 3UI	JB
4,4'-DDE	EPA 3550A	8081A	3	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND 3 UI	В
Endrin	EPA 3550A	8081A	2	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND ZU	J
Endosulfan II	EPA 3550A	8081A	6	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND 6UI	. B
4,4'-DDD	EPA 3550A	8081A	2	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
Endrin Aldehyde	EPA 3550A	8081A	2	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
Endosulfan Sulfate	EPA 3550A	8081A	2	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
4,4'-DDT	EPA 3550A	8081A	3	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND 3 U.I	JÉ
Endrın Ketone	EPA 3550A	8081A	2	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
Methoxychlor	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND	
Toxaphene	EPA 3550A	8081A	180	1	8/31/98	9/8/98	ND1806	I B

В

The MRL is elevated because of matrix interferences

Approved By

LS22/020597p

Date 9.16.98

05547SVG WN3 - 3 9/15/98

Page No